United Nations S/2014/276

Security Council

Distr.: General
14 April 2014

Original: English

Letter dated 14 April 2014 from the Permanent Representatives of Australia, France and the United States of America to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council

We have the honour to transmit the report of the Human Rights Council commission of inquiry on human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (see annex; previously issued as A/HRC/25/63). We note that the detailed findings of the commission of inquiry on the same matter are contained in document A/HRC/25/CRP.1 (available from www.ohchr.org/en/hrbodies/hrc/coidprk/pages/commissioninquiryonhrindprk.aspx).

The reports present a detailed and sobering account of widespread and systematic human rights violations that have been and are being committed in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The Commission has made a series of recommendations to take immediate steps to end the human rights violations and address the human rights concerns raised by the Commission, including specific recommendations directed to the Security Council, which warrant the attention and consideration of the Council.

We kindly ask you to circulate the present letter and its annex to the members of the Security Council and to issue it as a document of the Council.

(*Signed*) Gary **Quinlan**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative of Australia to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Gérard **Araud**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative of France to the United Nations

(*Signed*) Samantha **Power**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative of the United States to the United Nations

14-30169 (E) 160414

Please recycle

Annex to the letter dated 14 April 2014 from the Permanent Representatives of Australia, France and the United States of America to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council

Report of the commission of inquiry on human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea*

Summary

The present report contains the main findings and recommendations of the commission of inquiry on human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.**

* Previously issued as document A/HRC/25/63; the annexes to the present report are circulated as received, in the language of submission only.

** For detailed findings of the commission of inquiry, see document A/HRC/25/CRP.1.

Contents

		Paragraphs	*Page*
I.	Introduction	1–2	4
II.	Mandate and methodology	3–23	4
	A. Non-cooperation by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea	9–11	5
	B. Methods of work	12–20	6
	C. Legal framework and standard of proof for reported violations	21–22	7
	D. Archiving and record-keeping of testimony	23	7
III.	Principal findings of the commission	24–73	7
	A. Violations of the freedoms of thought, expression and religion	26–31	8
	B. Discrimination	32–37	9
	C. Violations of the freedom of movement and residence	38–45	10
	D. Violations of the right to food and related aspects of the right to life	46–55	12
	E. Arbitrary detention, torture, executions and prison camps	56–63	13
	F. Abductions and enforced disappearances from other countries	64–73	14
IV.	Crimes against humanity	74–79	16
V.	Conclusions and recommendations	80–94	17
Annexes			
I.	Correspondence with the Supreme Leader of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and First Secretary of the Workers' Party of Korea, Kim Jong-un		25
II.	Correspondence with China		29

I. Introduction

1. In its resolution 22/13, adopted on 21 March 2013, the Human Rights Council established the commission of inquiry on human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. In resolution 22/13, the Council mandated the commission to investigate the systematic, widespread and grave violations of human rights in the State, with a view to ensuring full accountability, in particular, for violations that may amount to crimes against humanity.

2. On 7 May 2013, the President of the Human Rights Council announced the appointment of Michael Kirby (Australia) and Sonja Biserko (Serbia), who joined the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Marzuki Darusman (Indonesia) to serve as the members of the commission of inquiry. Mr. Kirby was designated to serve as Chair. The commission implemented the mandate entrusted by the States Members of the Human Rights Council, bearing in mind the decision of the Council to transmit the reports of the commission to all relevant bodies of the United Nations and to the Secretary-General for appropriate action.

II. Mandate and methodology[1]

3. The mandate of the commission of inquiry is described in paragraph 5 of Human Rights Council resolution 22/13, in which the Council made specific reference to paragraph 31 of the 2013 report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.[2] Reading the two paragraphs together, the commission determined that it had been mandated to investigate the systematic, widespread and grave violations of human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea including, in particular, the following nine specific substantive areas:

- Violations of the right to food
- The full range of violations associated with prison camps
- Torture and inhuman treatment
- Arbitrary arrest and detention
- Discrimination, in particular in the systemic denial and violation of basic human rights and fundamental freedoms
- Violations of the freedom of expression
- Violations of the right to life
- Violations of the freedom of movement
- Enforced disappearances, including in the form of abductions of nationals of other States

[1] For further information on the interpretation of the mandate and the Commission's methods of work, see A/HRC/25/CRP.1, sect. II.

[2] A/HRC/22/57.

4. The above list is not exhaustive. Where appropriate, the commission also investigated violations intrinsically linked to one of the nine areas.

5. The mandate further indicates that the inquiry should pursue three interlinked objectives:

(a) Further investigating and documenting human rights violations;

(b) Collecting and documenting victim and perpetrator accounts;

(c) Ensuring accountability.

6. The commission paid specific attention to gender-based violations, particularly violence against women, and the impact of violations on particular groups, including women and children.

7. Paragraph 5 of Council resolution 22/13 does not limit the temporal scope for the commission's inquiry to a particular period within the existence of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

8. With regard to its geographic scope, the commission interpreted its mandate to include violations committed on the territory of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, as well as those violations that involve extraterritorial action originating from the State, such as abductions from other countries. The commission also considered violations that causally enable, or are the immediate consequence of, violations in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and made findings regarding the extent to which other States carry relevant responsibility.

A. Non-cooperation by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea

9. In its resolution 22/13, the Human Rights Council urged the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to cooperate fully with the commission's investigation, to permit the commission's members unrestricted access to visit the country and to provide them with all information necessary to enable them to fulfil their mandate. Immediately after the adoption of resolution 22/13, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea publicly stated that it would "totally reject and disregard" it. In a letter dated 10 May 2013, it informed the President of the Human Rights Council that it "totally and categorically rejects the commission of inquiry". Regrettably, this stance has remained unchanged, despite numerous attempts at engagement by the commission.

10. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea did not respond to the commission's repeated requests for access to the country and to information on the human rights situation (see sect. III below).

11. The Commission shared its detailed findings (A/HRC/25/CRP.1) with the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and invited its comments and factual corrections. A summary of the most serious concerns, in particular the principal findings on crimes against humanity, was also included in a letter addressed to the Supreme Leader of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Kim Jong-un (see annex I). In the letter, the commission drew attention to the principle of command and superior responsibility under international criminal law. It urged the Supreme Leader to prevent and suppress crimes against humanity, and to ensure that perpetrators are prosecuted and brought to justice.

B. Methods of work

12. Owing to its lack of access to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the commission obtained first-hand testimony through public hearings that were transparent, observed due process and protected victims and witnesses. More than 80 witnesses and experts testified publicly and provided information of great specificity, detail and relevance, in ways that often required a significant degree of courage.

13. Public hearings were conducted in Seoul (20 to 24 August 2013), Tokyo (29 and 30 August 2013), London (23 October 2013) and Washington, D.C. (30 and 31 October 2013).[3] The commission invited the authorities of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to make representations at the public hearings, but received no reply.

14. The commission and its secretariat conducted more than 240 confidential interviews with victims and other witnesses.

15. In July 2013, the commission made a call for written submissions to all States Members of the United Nations and relevant stakeholders. At the finalization of the present report, 80 such submissions had been received.

16. The commission conducted official visits to the Republic of Korea, Japan, Thailand, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America.

17. The commission sought access to China in order to conduct inquiries and to consult with officials of the Government and local experts. A working meeting was held in July 2013, at which that request was made. The commission requested access to parts of China bordering the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. On 7 November 2013, the commission transmitted a further request for an invitation to visit China. On 20 November 2013, the Permanent Mission of China in Geneva informed the secretariat that, given the State's position on country-specific mandates, especially on the Korean peninsula, it would not be possible to extend an invitation to the commission. In a follow-up letter dated 16 December 2013, the commission requested information on the status of citizens of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and their children in China, forced repatriations to and related cooperation with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, trafficking in persons and other issues relevant to the mandate of the commission (see annex II).

18. The commission engaged with a number of United Nations entities and other humanitarian actors. It regrets that other such entities and actors were not in a position to provide relevant information. The commission expresses its gratitude to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) for its support. The commission benefited from the invaluable support of a number of non-governmental organizations that thoroughly document human rights violations in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, despite the inadequate financial resources available to them.

19. The most significant investigative challenge faced by the commission, aside from the inability to have access to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, was the fear of reprisals by witnesses. Most of the potential witnesses residing outside the

[3] Video recordings and transcripts from all the public hearings are available on the website of the commission of inquiry at www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/CoIDPRK.

State were afraid to testify, even on a confidential basis, because they feared for the safety of family members and assumed that their conduct was still being clandestinely monitored by the authorities.

20. The commission paid particular attention to the protection of victims and witnesses. It recalls that primary responsibility for protecting victims, witnesses and other persons cooperating with the commission rests with their States of residence and nationality. The commission therefore urges Member States to provide additional protection measures where necessary.

C. Legal framework and standard of proof for reported violations

21. In assessing the situation of human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the commission relied chiefly on the binding legal obligations that the country voluntarily assumed as a State party to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. Where appropriate, the commission also considered relevant obligations of other States, including the prohibition of refoulement under international refugee law and international human rights law. Matters relating to crimes against humanity were assessed on the basis of definitions set out by customary international criminal law and in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.

22. The commission bases its findings on a "reasonable grounds" standard of proof. It concluded that there are reasonable grounds establishing that an incident or pattern of conduct had occurred whenever it was satisfied that it had obtained a reliable body of information, consistent with other material, based on which a reasonable and ordinarily prudent person would have reason to believe that such an incident or pattern of conduct had occurred.

D. Archiving and record-keeping of testimony

23. All information gathered by the commission, including information pertaining to individual perpetrators, has been stored in a confidential electronic database. The commission has authorized OHCHR, acting as the residual secretariat of the commission, to provide access to the existing materials contained in the database to competent authorities that carry out credible investigations for purposes of ensuring accountability for crimes and other violations committed, establishing the truth about violations committed or implementing United Nations-mandated targeted sanctions against particular individuals or institutions. Access must only be granted to the extent that witnesses or other providers of information have given their informed consent and any protection and operational concerns are duly addressed.

III. Principal findings of the commission

24. The commission finds that systematic, widespread and gross human rights violations have been and are being committed by the Democratic People's Republic of

Korea.[4] In many instances, the violations found entailed crimes against humanity based on State policies. The main perpetrators are officials of the State Security Department, the Ministry of People's Security, the Korean People's Army, the Office of the Public Prosecutor, the judiciary and the Workers' Party of Korea, who are acting under the effective control of the central organs of the Workers' Party of Korea, the National Defence Commission and the Supreme Leader of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

25. The commission emphasizes that the current human rights situation in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea has been shaped by the historical experiences of the Korean people. Confucian social structures and the experience of the Japanese colonial occupation have to some degree informed the political structures and attitudes prevailing in the country today. The division imposed on the Korean peninsula, the massive destruction caused by the Korean War and the impact of the Cold War have engendered an isolationist mindset and an aversion to outside powers that are used to justify internal repression. The particular nature and the overall scale of human rights violations in the State can be more easily understood through an appreciation of the nature of its political system, which is based on a single party led by a single Supreme Leader, an elaborate guiding ideology and a centrally planned economy.[5]

A. Violations of the freedoms of thought, expression and religion

26. Throughout the history of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, among the most striking features of the State has been its claim to an absolute monopoly over information and total control of organized social life. The commission finds that there is an almost complete denial of the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion, as well as of the rights to freedom of opinion, expression, information and association.

27. The State operates an all-encompassing indoctrination machine that takes root from childhood to propagate an official personality cult and to manufacture absolute obedience to the Supreme Leader (*Suryong*), effectively to the exclusion of any thought independent of official ideology and State propaganda. Propaganda is further used by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to incite nationalistic hatred towards official enemies of the State, including Japan, the United States of America and the Republic of Korea, and their nationals.

28. Virtually all social activities undertaken by citizens of all ages are controlled by the Workers' Party of Korea. Through the associations that are run and overseen by the Party, and to which citizens are obliged to be members, the State is able to monitor its citizens and to dictate their daily activities. State surveillance permeates the private lives of all citizens to ensure that virtually no expression critical of the political system or of its leadership goes undetected. Citizens are punished for any "anti-State" activities or expressions of dissent. They are rewarded for reporting on fellow citizens suspected of committing such "crimes".

29. Citizens are denied the right to have access to information from independent sources; State-controlled media are the only permitted source of information in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. Access to television and radio broadcasts, as

[4] See also A/HRC/25/CRP.1, sect. IV.

[5] See ibid., sect. III.

well as to the Internet, is severely restricted, and all media content is heavily censored and must adhere to directives issued by the Workers' Party of Korea. Telephone calls are monitored and mostly confined to domestic connections for citizens. Citizens are punished for watching and listening to foreign broadcasts, including foreign films and soap operas.

30. Strengthening market forces and advancements in information technology have allowed greater access to information from outside the country as information and media from the Republic of Korea and China increasingly enter the country. The State's monopoly on information is therefore being challenged by the increasing flow of outside information into the country and the ensuing curiosity of the people for "truths" other than those provided by State propaganda. Authorities seek to preserve their monopoly on information by carrying out regular crackdowns and enforcing harsh punishments.

31. The State considers the spread of Christianity a particularly serious threat, since it challenges ideologically the official personality cult and provides a platform for social and political organization and interaction outside the realm of the State. Apart from the few organized State-controlled churches, Christians are prohibited from practising their religion and are persecuted. People caught practising Christianity are subject to severe punishments in violation of the right to freedom of religion and the prohibition of religious discrimination.

B. Discrimination

32. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea presents itself as a State where equality, non-discrimination and equal rights in all sectors have been fully achieved and implemented. In reality, it is a rigidly stratified society with entrenched patterns of discrimination, although these are being modified to some extent by the transformative socioeconomic changes introduced by market forces and technological developments. State-sponsored discrimination in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea is pervasive, but is also shifting. Discrimination is rooted in the *songbun* system, which classifies people on the basis of State-assigned social class and birth, and also includes consideration of political opinions and religion. *Songbun* intersects with gender-based discrimination, which is equally pervasive. Discrimination is also practised on the basis of disability, although there are signs that the State may have begun to address this particular issue.

33. The *songbun* system used to be the most important factor in determining where individuals were allowed to live; what sort of accommodation they had; what occupations they were assigned to; whether they were effectively able to attend school, in particular university; how much food they received; and even whom they might marry. This traditional discrimination under the *songbun* system was recently complicated by increasing marketization in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and by the influence of money, including foreign currency, on people's ability to have greater access their economic, social and cultural rights. At the same time, significant segments of the population who have neither the resources nor favourable *songbun* find themselves increasingly marginalized and subject to further patterns of discrimination, given that basic public services have collapsed or now effectively require payment.

34. Early reforms aimed at ensuring formal legal equality have not resulted in gender equality. Discrimination against women remains pervasive in all aspects of society. Indeed, it might even be increasing, as the male-dominated State preys on both economically advancing women and marginalized women. Many women, survival-driven during the famine of the 1990s, began operating private markets. The State imposed, however, many restrictions on female-dominated markets. Gender discrimination also takes the form of women being targeted to pay bribes or fines. There is recent evidence that women are beginning to object and to resist such impositions.

35. The economic advances of women have not been matched by advances in the social and political spheres. Entrenched traditional patriarchal attitudes and violence against women persist in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The State has imposed blatantly discriminatory restrictions on women in an attempt to maintain the gender stereotype of the pure and innocent Korean woman. Sexual and gender-based violence against women is prevalent throughout all areas of society. Victims are not afforded protection from the State, support services or recourse to justice. In the political sphere, women make up just 5 per cent of the top political cadre and 10 per cent of central government employees.

36. Discrimination against women also intersects with a number of other human rights violations, placing women in a position of vulnerability. Violations of the rights to food and to freedom of movement have resulted in women and girls becoming vulnerable to trafficking and increased engagement in transactional sex and prostitution. The complete denial of the freedoms of expression and association has been a large contributing factor to the generally unequal status of women vis-à-vis men. These limitations have, inter alia, prevented women from collectively advocating for their rights as women have done elsewhere in the world.

37. While discrimination exists to some extent in all societies, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea has practised a form of official discrimination that has had a very significant impact on individual enjoyment of human rights. Given the exceptional extent of State control, this official discrimination influences most aspects of people's lives. Discrimination remains a major means for the leadership to maintain control against perceived threats, both internal and external.

C. Violations of the freedom of movement and residence

38. The systems of indoctrination and discrimination on the basis of social class are reinforced and safeguarded by a policy of isolating citizens from contact with each other and with the outside world, violating all aspects of the right to freedom of movement.

39. In the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the State imposes on citizens where they must live and work, violating their freedom of choice. Moreover, the forced assignment to a State-designated place of residence and employment is heavily driven by discrimination based on *songbun*. This has created a socioeconomically and physically segregated society, where people considered politically loyal to the leadership can live and work in favourable locations, whereas families of persons who are considered politically suspect are relegated to marginalized areas. The special status of Pyongyang, reserved only for those most loyal to the State, exemplifies this system of segregation.

40. Citizens are not even allowed to leave their province temporarily or to travel within the country without official authorization. This policy is driven by the desire to maintain disparate living conditions, to limit the flow of information and to maximize State control, at the expense of social and familial ties.

41. In an attempt to keep Pyongyang's "pure" and untainted image, the State systematically banishes entire families from the capital city if one family member commits what is deemed to be a serious crime or political wrong. For the same reason, the large number of street children migrating clandestinely to Pyongyang and other cities – principally in search of food – are subject to arrest and forcible transfer back to their home provinces, experiencing neglect and forced institutionalization on their return.

42. The State imposes a virtually absolute ban on ordinary citizens travelling abroad, thereby violating their human right to leave the country. Despite the enforcement of this ban through strict border controls, nationals still take the risk of fleeing, mainly to China. When they are apprehended or forcibly repatriated, officials from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea systematically subject them to persecution, torture, prolonged arbitrary detention and, in some cases, sexual violence, including during invasive body searches. Repatriated women who are pregnant are regularly subjected to forced abortions, and babies born to repatriated women are often killed. These practices are driven by racist attitudes towards interracial children of Koreans, and the intent to punish further women who have left the country and their assumed contact with Chinese men. Persons found to have been in contact with officials or nationals from the Republic of Korea or with Christian churches may be forcibly "disappeared" into political prison camps, imprisoned in ordinary prisons or even summarily executed.

43. Despite the gross human rights violations awaiting repatriated persons, China pursues a rigorous policy of forcibly repatriating citizens of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea who cross the border illegally. China does so in pursuance of its view that these persons are economic (and illegal) migrants. However, many such nationals of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea should be recognized as refugees fleeing persecution or refugees *sur place*. They are thereby entitled to international protection. In forcibly returning nationals of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, China also violates its obligation to respect the principle of non-refoulement under international refugee and human rights law. In some cases, Chinese officials also appear to provide information on those apprehended to their counterparts in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

44. Discrimination against women and their vulnerable status in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, as well as the prospect of refoulement, make women extremely vulnerable to trafficking in persons. Many women are trafficked by force or deception from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea into or within China for the purposes of exploitation in forced marriage or concubinage, or prostitution under coercive circumstances. An estimated 20,000 children born to women from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea are currently in China. These children are deprived of their rights to birth registration, nationality, education and health care because their birth cannot be registered without exposing the mother to the risk of refoulement by China.

45. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea has repeatedly breached its obligations to respect the rights of its nationals who have special ties to, or claims in

relation to, another country, in this case the Republic of Korea, to return there or otherwise to enjoy a facility to meet long separated families. The severe impediments put in place by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to prevent contact and communication with family members in the Republic of Korea are a breach of the State's obligations under international human rights law. The restrictions are arbitrary, cruel and inhuman. This is particularly the case when previously agreed temporary reunions of separated families are cancelled for wholly unpersuasive reasons, especially given the advanced age of the persons concerned.

D. Violations of the right to food and related aspects of the right to life

46. The rights to food, freedom from hunger and to life in the context of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea cannot be reduced to a narrow discussion of food shortages and access to a commodity. The State has used food as a means of control over the population. It has prioritized those whom the authorities believe to be crucial in maintaining the regime over those deemed expendable.

47. Confiscation and dispossession of food from those in need, and the provision of food to other groups, follows this logic. The State has practised discrimination with regard to access to and distribution of food based on the *songbun* system. In addition, it privileges certain parts of the country, such as Pyongyang, over others. The State has also failed to take into account the needs of the most vulnerable. The commission is particularly concerned about ongoing chronic malnutrition in children and its long-term effects.

48. The State was aware of the deteriorating food situation in the country well before the first appeal for international aid in 1995. State-controlled production and distribution of food had not been able to provide the population with adequate food since the end of the 1980s. The lack of transparency, accountability and democratic institutions, as well as restrictions on freedom of expression, information and association, prevented the adoption of optimal economic solutions over those in accordance with Party directives. The State has evaded structural reforms to the economy and agriculture for fear of losing its control over the population.

49. During the period of famine, ideological indoctrination was used in order to maintain the regime, at the cost of seriously aggravating hunger and starvation. The concealment of information prevented the population from finding alternatives to the collapsing public distribution system. It also delayed international assistance that, provided earlier, could have saved many lives. Despite the State's inability to provide its people with adequate food, it maintained laws and controls effectively criminalizing people's use of key coping mechanisms, particularly moving within or outside the country in search of food and trading or working in informal markets.

50. Even during the worst period of mass starvation, the State impeded the delivery of food aid by imposing conditions that were not based on humanitarian considerations. International humanitarian agencies were subject to restrictions contravening humanitarian principles. Aid organizations were prevented from properly assessing humanitarian needs and monitoring the distribution of aid. The State denied humanitarian access to some of the most affected regions and groups, including homeless children.

51. The State has consistently failed in its obligation to use the maximum of its available resources to feed those who are hungry. Military spending – predominantly on hardware and the development of weapons systems and the nuclear programme – has always been prioritized, even during periods of mass starvation. Nevertheless, the State still failed to feed the ordinary soldiers of its disproportionately large army. Large amounts of State resources, including parallel funds directly controlled by the Supreme Leader, have been spent on luxury goods and the advancement of his personality cult instead of providing food to the starving general population.

52. The State has also used deliberate starvation as a means of control and punishment in detention facilities. This has resulted in the deaths of many political and ordinary prisoners.

53. The commission found evidence of systematic, widespread and grave violations of the right to food in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. While acknowledging the impact of factors beyond State control over the food situation, the commission finds that decisions, actions and omissions by the State and its leadership caused the death of at least hundreds of thousands of people and inflicted permanent physical and psychological injuries on those who survived.

54. In the highly centralized system of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, decisions relating to food, including its production and distribution, State budget allocation, decisions relating to humanitarian assistance and the use of international aid, are ultimately made by a small group of officials, who are not accountable to those affected by their decisions.

55. While conditions have changed since the 1990s, hunger and malnutrition continue to be widespread. Deaths from starvation continue to be reported. The commission is concerned that structural issues, including laws and policies that violate the right to adequate food and freedom from hunger, remain in place, which could lead to the recurrence of mass starvation.

E. Arbitrary detention, torture, executions and prison camps

56. The police and security forces of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea systematically employ violence and punishments that amount to gross human rights violations in order to create a climate of fear that pre-empts any challenge to the current system of government and to the ideology underpinning it. The institutions and officials involved are not held accountable. Impunity reigns.

57. Gross human rights violations in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea involving detention, executions and disappearances are characterized by a high degree of centralized coordination between different parts of the extensive security apparatus. The State Security Department, the Ministry of People's Security and the Korean People's Army Military Security Command regularly subject persons accused of political crimes to arbitrary arrest and subsequent incommunicado detention for prolonged periods of time. Their families are not informed of their fate or whereabouts. Persons accused of political crimes therefore become victims of enforced disappearance. Making the suspect disappear is a deliberate feature of the system that serves to instil fear in the population.

58. The use of torture is an established feature of the interrogation process in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, especially in cases involving political crimes.

Starvation and other inhumane conditions of detention are deliberately imposed on suspects to increase the pressure on them to confess and to incriminate other persons.

59. Persons who are found to have engaged in major political crimes are "disappeared", without trial or judicial order, to political prison camps (*kwanliso*). There, they are incarcerated and held incommunicado. Their families are not even informed of their fate if they die. In the past, it was common that the authorities sent entire families to political prison camps for political crimes committed by close relatives (including forebears, to the third generation) on the basis of the principle of guilt by association. Such cases still occur, but appear to be less frequent now than in past decades.

60. In the political prison camps of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the inmate population has been gradually eliminated through deliberate starvation, forced labour, executions, torture, rape and the denial of reproductive rights enforced through punishment, forced abortion and infanticide. The commission estimates that hundreds of thousands of political prisoners have perished in these camps over the past five decades. The unspeakable atrocities that are being committed against inmates of the *kwanliso* political prison camps resemble the horrors of camps that totalitarian States established during the twentieth century.

61. Although the authorities in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea deny the existence of the camps, this claim was shown to be false by the testimonies of former guards, inmates and neighbours. Satellite imagery proves that the camp system continues to be in operation. While the number of political prison camps and inmates has decreased owing to deaths and some releases, it is estimated that between 80,000 and 120,000 political prisoners are currently detained in four large political prison camps.

62. Gross violations are also being committed in the ordinary prison system, which consists of ordinary prison camps (*kyohwaso*) and various types of short-term forced labour detention facilities. The vast majority of inmates are victims of arbitrary detention, since they are imprisoned without trial or on the basis of a trial that fails to respect the due process and fair trial guarantees set out in international law. Furthermore, many ordinary prisoners are, in fact, political prisoners, who are detained without a substantive reason compatible with international law. Prisoners in the ordinary prison system are systematically subjected to deliberate starvation and illegal forced labour. Torture, rape and other arbitrary cruelties at the hands of guards and fellow prisoners are widespread and committed with impunity.

63. As a matter of State policy, the authorities carry out executions, with or without trial, publicly or secretly, in response to political and other crimes that are often not among the most serious crimes. The policy of regularly carrying out public executions serves to instil fear in the general population. Public executions were most common in the 1990s. However, they continue to be carried out today. In late 2013, there appeared to be a spike in the number of politically motivated public executions.

F. Abductions and enforced disappearances from other countries

64. Since 1950, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea has engaged in the systematic abduction, denial of repatriation and subsequent enforced disappearance of persons from other countries on a large scale and as a matter of State policy. Well over

200,000 persons, including children, who were brought from other countries to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea may have become victims of enforced disappearance, as defined in the Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance. More information would have to emerge from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to provide a more precise estimate of the number of victims.

65. For a nation State that seeks to live alongside others, the above-mentioned actions, in defiance of the sovereignty of other States and the rights of foreign nationals guaranteed under international law, are exceptional.

66. The vast majority of abductions and enforced disappearances are linked to the Korean War and the organized movement of ethnic Koreans from Japan that started in 1959. However, hundreds of nationals of the Republic of Korea, Japan and other States were also abducted and disappeared between the 1960s and 1980s. In more recent years, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea abducted a number of its own nationals and nationals of the Republic of Korea from China.

67. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea used its land, naval and intelligence forces to conduct abductions and arrests. Operations were approved at the level of the Supreme Leader. The vast majority of victims were forcibly disappeared to gain labour and other skills for the State. Some victims were used to further espionage and terrorist activities. Women abducted from Europe, the Middle East and Asia were subjected to forced marriages with men from other countries to prevent liaisons on their part with ethnic Korean women that could result in interracial children. Some of the abducted women have also been subject to sexual exploitation.

68. A number of the forcibly disappeared travelled to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea voluntarily. Others were abducted through physical force or fraudulent persuasion. Subsequently, they were all denied the right to leave the country. They have also been subject to severe deprivation of their liberty and freedom of movement within the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, denied the right to recognition as a person before the law, and the right not to be subjected to torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. All of the forcibly disappeared have been placed under strict surveillance. They have been denied education and employment opportunities.

69. Ethnic Koreans from the Republic of Korea and Japan, forcibly disappeared by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, have been discriminated against for their origins and background. They were categorized as "hostile" and forced to work in mines and farms in remote marginalized areas of the country. Many of them were likely to have been the first victims of the famine in the 1990s because of their lower social status.

70. Non-Korean abductees were not able to integrate into social and economic life in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea as they were detained in tightly controlled compounds. They were denied the right to work, to leave their place of residence or to move freely in society, and they were unable to choose educational opportunities for themselves and their children.

71. Family members abroad and foreign States wishing to exercise their right to provide diplomatic protection have been consistently denied information necessary to establish the fate and whereabouts of the victims. Family members of the disappeared have been subjected to torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. They

have been denied the right to effective remedies for human rights violations, including the right to the truth. Parents and disappeared children have been denied the right to family life.

72. Despite admitting to the abduction of 13 Japanese nationals by agents of the State, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea has never adequately disavowed the practice of international abductions. Since the 1990s, its agents have abducted a number of persons from Chinese territory, including nationals of China, the Republic of Korea and, in at least one case, a former Japanese national.

73. The commission finds that almost all of the foregoing victims remain disappeared. Human rights violations continue against them and their families. The shock and pain caused by such actions is indescribable.

IV. Crimes against humanity

74. In accordance with Human Rights Council resolution 22/13, the commission carried out its inquiry with a view to ensuring full accountability, in particular where these violations may amount to crimes against humanity. The commission is neither a judicial body nor a prosecutor. It cannot make final determinations of individual criminal responsibility. It can, however, determine whether its findings constitute reasonable grounds establishing that crimes against humanity have been committed so as to merit a criminal investigation by a competent national or international organ of justice.

75. According to that standard, the commission finds that the body of testimony and other information it received establishes that crimes against humanity have been committed in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, pursuant to policies established at the highest level of the State.[6]

76. These crimes against humanity entail extermination, murder, enslavement, torture, imprisonment, rape, forced abortions and other sexual violence, persecution on political, religious, racial and gender grounds, the forcible transfer of populations, the enforced disappearance of persons and the inhumane act of knowingly causing prolonged starvation. The commission further finds that crimes against humanity are ongoing in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea because the policies, institutions and patterns of impunity that lie at their heart remain in place.

77. Persons detained in political and other prison camps, those who try to flee the State, Christians and others considered to introduce subversive influences are the primary targets of a systematic and widespread attack against all populations that are considered to pose a threat to the political system and leadership of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. This attack is embedded in the larger patterns of politically motivated human rights violations experienced by the general population, including the discriminatory system of classification of persons based on *songbun*.

78. In addition, the commission finds that crimes against humanity have been committed against starving populations, particularly during the 1990s. These crimes arose from decisions and policies violating the right to food, which were applied for the purposes of sustaining the present political system, in full awareness that such decisions would exacerbate starvation and related deaths of much of the population.

[6] See also A/HRC/25/CRP.1, sect. V.

79. Lastly, the commission finds that crimes against humanity are being committed against persons from other countries who were systematically abducted or denied repatriation, in order to gain labour and other skills for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

V. Conclusions and recommendations

80. Systematic, widespread and gross human rights violations have been and are being committed by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, its institutions and officials. In many instances, the violations of human rights found by the commission constitute crimes against humanity. These are not mere excesses of the State; they are essential components of a political system that has moved far from the ideals on which it claims to be founded. The gravity, scale and nature of these violations reveal a State that does not have any parallel in the contemporary world. Political scientists of the twentieth century characterized this type of political organization as a totalitarian State: a State that does not content itself with ensuring the authoritarian rule of a small group of people, but seeks to dominate every aspect of its citizens' lives and terrorizes them from within.

81. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea displays many attributes of a totalitarian State: the rule of a single party, led by a single person, is based on an elaborate guiding ideology that its current Supreme Leader refers to as "Kimilsungism-Kimjongilism". The State seeks to ensure that its citizens internalize this guiding ideology by indoctrinating citizens from childhood, suppressing all political and religious expression that questions the official ideology, and tightly controlling citizens' physical movement and their means of communication with each other and with those in other countries. Discrimination on the basis of gender and *songbun* is used to maintain a rigid social structure that is less likely to produce challenges to the political system.

82. The State's monopolization of access to food has been used as an important means to enforce political loyalty. The distribution of food has prioritized those who are useful to the survival of the current political system at the expense of those deemed to be expendable. Citizens' complete dependence on the State led to one of the worst cases of famine in recent history. The authorities have only recently come to tolerate the fact that markets can no longer be fully suppressed. Instead of fully embracing reforms to realize the right to food, however, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea maintains a system of inefficient economic production and discriminatory resource allocation that inevitably produces more unnecessary starvation among its citizens.

83. The key to the political system is the vast political and security apparatus that strategically uses surveillance, coercion, fear and punishment to preclude the expression of any dissent. Public executions and enforced disappearance to political prison camps serve as the ultimate means to terrorize the population into submission. The State's violence has been externalized through State-sponsored abductions and enforced disappearances of people from other nations. These international enforced disappearances are unique in their intensity, scale and nature.

84. Today, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea finds itself surrounded by a world that is changing rapidly in political, economic and technological terms.

These changes offer opportunities for incremental social change within the State. In response, the authorities engage in gross human rights violations so as to crack down on "subversive" influences from abroad. These influences are symbolized by films and soap operas from the Republic of Korea and other countries, short-wave radio broadcasts and foreign mobile telephones. For the same reason, the State systematically uses violence and punishment to deter its citizens from exercising their human right to leave the country. Persons who are forcibly repatriated from China are commonly subjected to torture, arbitrary detention, summary execution, forced abortion and other forms of sexual violence.

85. A number of long-standing and ongoing patterns of systematic and widespread violations, which were documented by the commission, meet the high threshold required for proof of crimes against humanity in international law. The perpetrators enjoy impunity. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea is unwilling to implement its international obligation to prosecute and bring the perpetrators to justice, because those perpetrators act in accordance with State policy.

86. The fact that the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, as a State Member of the United Nations, has for decades pursued policies involving crimes that shock the conscience of humanity raises questions about the inadequacy of the response of the international community. The international community must accept its responsibility to protect the people of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea from crimes against humanity, because the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea has manifestly failed to do so. In particular, this responsibility must be accepted in the light of the role played by the international community (and by the great powers in particular) in the division of the Korean peninsula and because of the unresolved legacy of the Korean War. These unfortunate legacies help not only to explain the intractability of the human rights situation but also why an effective response is now imperative.

87. The United Nations must ensure that those most responsible for the crimes against humanity committed in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea are held accountable. Options to achieve this end include a Security Council referral of the situation to the International Criminal Court or the establishment of an ad hoc tribunal by the United Nations. Urgent accountability measures should be combined with a reinforced human rights dialogue, the promotion of incremental change through more people-to-people contact and an inter-Korean agenda for reconciliation.

88. On the basis of its findings and conclusions, the Commission makes the recommendations below.

89. The commission of inquiry recommends that the Democratic People's Republic of Korea:

(a) Undertake profound political and institutional reforms without delay to introduce genuine checks and balances upon the powers of the Supreme Leader and the Workers' Party of Korea; such changes should include an independent and impartial judiciary, a multiparty political system and elected people's assemblies at the local and central levels that emerge from genuinely free and fair elections; reform the security sector by vetting the entire officers' corps for

involvement in human rights violations and by limiting the functions of the Korean People's Army to defending the nation against external threats; and dismantle the State Security Department and place the Ministry of Public Security under transparent democratic oversight. An independent constitutional and institutional reform commission, consisting of respected members of society in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, should be constituted to guide this process and should be assisted by appropriate international experts;

(b) Acknowledge the existence of human rights violations, including the political prison camps described by the commission in the present report; provide international humanitarian organizations and human rights monitors with immediate access to the camps and their surviving victims; dismantle all political prison camps and release all political prisoners; and clarify with full detail the fate of any disappeared persons who cannot be readily traced;

(c) Reform the Criminal Code and Code of Criminal Procedure to abolish vaguely worded "anti-State" and "anti-People" crimes and to fully enshrine the right to a fair trial and due process guarantees articulated in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights; enforce existing provisions in the Criminal Code and the Code of Criminal Procedure that prohibit and criminalize the use of torture and other inhuman means of interrogation that are illegal under international law; reform the ordinary prison system so as to ensure humane conditions of detention for all inmates deprived of liberty; end reprisals against persons on the basis of guilt by association; and abolish immediately the practice of forcibly resettling the families of convicted criminals;

(d) Declare and implement an immediate moratorium on the imposition and execution of the death penalty, followed without undue delay by the abolition of the death penalty both in law and in practice;

(e) Allow the establishment of independent newspapers and other media; allow citizens to freely access the Internet, social media, international communications, foreign broadcasts and publications, including the popular culture of other countries; and abolish compulsory participation in mass organizations and indoctrination sessions;

(f) Introduce education to ensure respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms; and abolish any propaganda or educational activities that espouse national, racial or political hatred or war propaganda;

(g) Allow Christians and other religious believers to exercise their religion independently and publicly, without fear of punishment, reprisal or surveillance;

(h) End discrimination against citizens on the basis of their perceived political loyalty or the sociopolitical background of their families, including in matters of access to education and employment; dismantle the neighbourhood watch (*inminban*), the secret resident registration file system, and all surveillance of persons and their communications that serve purposes of political oppression and/or are not subject to effective judicial and democratic control; and publicly acknowledge the extent of surveillance practices carried out in the past and provide citizens with access to their resident registration file;

(i) Take immediate measures to ensure gender equality in practice, such as by providing equal access for women in public life and employment; eradicate

discriminatory laws, regulations and practices affecting women; take measures to address all forms of violence against women, including domestic violence, sexual and gender-based violence by State agents and/or within State institutions; and respond immediately and effectively to trafficking in women, and address the structural causes that make women vulnerable to such violations;

(j) Ensure that citizens can enjoy the right to food and other economic and social rights without discrimination; pay particular attention to the needs of women and vulnerable groups, such as street children, the elderly and persons with disabilities; promote agricultural, economic and financial policies based on democratic participation, good governance and non-discrimination; and legalize and support free market activities, internal and external trade and other independent economic conduct that provide citizens with a livelihood;

(k) In the light of the past expenditures by the leadership, the military and security apparatus, realign priorities and dedicate the resources made available to ensure, as necessary, freedom from hunger and other essential minimum standards for citizens, including those citizens serving in the armed forces;

(l) Where necessary to ensure the right to food, seek international humanitarian assistance without delay; provide international humanitarian organizations with free and unimpeded access to all populations in need, including for the purposes of effective monitoring; and hold accountable State officials who illegally divert humanitarian aid for improper purposes;

(m) Abolish the de facto prohibition on foreign travel imposed on ordinary citizens; decriminalize illegal border crossings and introduce border controls that conform to international standards; renounce orders to shoot and kill at the border; cease to regard citizens repatriated from China as political criminals or to subject them to imprisonment, execution, torture, arbitrary detention, deliberate starvation, illegal cavity searches, forced abortions and other sexual violence; and abolish the State's compulsory designation of places of residence and employment, as well as the requirement to obtain a permit for domestic travel outside a person's designated province;

(n) Provide the families and nations of origin of all persons who have been abducted, or otherwise forcibly disappeared, with full information on their fate and whereabouts, if they have survived; allow those who remain alive, and their descendants, to return immediately to their countries of origin; and, in close cooperation with their families and nations of origin, identify and repatriate the physical remains of those who have died;

(o) Allow separated families to unite, including by allowing citizens to travel or emigrate where they choose; and immediately provide such persons with facilities for unmonitored communications by way of mail, telephone, email and any other means of communication;

(p) Prosecute and bring to justice those persons most responsible for alleged crimes against humanity; appoint a special prosecutor to supervise this process; ensure that victims and their families are provided with adequate, prompt and effective reparation and remedies, including by knowing the truth about the violations that have been suffered; launch a people-driven process to establish the truth about the violations; provide adults and children with comprehensive education on national and international law and practice on

human rights and democratic governance; and seek international advice and support for transitional justice measures;

(q) Take immediate steps to end all other human rights violations and to address the human rights concerns raised by the commission in the present report, as well as in successive resolutions of the General Assembly and the Human Rights Council, in the procedures of universal periodic review and in the reports of special procedures mandate holders and the treaty bodies;

(r) Ratify without delay the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and the fundamental conventions of the International Labour Organization;

(s) Accept immediately a field-based presence and technical assistance from the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and other relevant United Nations entities to help to implement the above-mentioned recommendations.

90. **The commission of inquiry recommends that China and other States:**

(a) Respect the principle of non-refoulement and, accordingly, abstain from forcibly repatriating any persons to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, unless the treatment there, as verified by international human rights monitors, markedly improves; extend asylum and other means of durable protection to persons fleeing the Democratic People's Republic of Korea who need international protection; ensure that such persons are fully integrated and duly protected from discrimination; stop providing information on activities and contacts of persons from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea living in China to the State Security Department and other security agencies in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea; and allow persons from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea free access to diplomatic and consular representations of any State that may be willing to extend nationality or other forms of protection to them;

(b) Provide the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, and relevant humanitarian organizations, full and unimpeded access to all persons from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea seeking such contact;

(c) Request technical assistance from the United Nations to help to meet the obligations imposed under international refugee law, and ensure the effective protection of persons from trafficking;

(d) Adopt a victim-centric and human rights-based approach to trafficking in persons, including by providing victims with the right to stay in the country and access to legal protection and basic services, such as medical treatment, education and employment opportunities equivalent to those afforded to their own citizens;

(e) Regularize the status of women and men from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea who marry or have a child with a Chinese citizen; and ensure that all such children may realize their rights to birth registration and Chinese nationality where applicable, and have access to education and health care without discrimination;

(f) **Take immediate measures to prevent agents of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea from carrying out further abductions from Chinese territory; prosecute and adequately punish apprehended perpetrators of abduction and demand the extradition of those giving such orders so that they may be tried in accordance with law. China should raise with the Supreme Leader of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and other high-level authorities the issues of abductions, the infanticide of children entitled to Chinese nationality, forced abortions imposed on repatriated women and other human rights violations that target persons repatriated from China.**

91. **The commission of inquiry recommends that the Korean people foster inter-Korean dialogue in a phased approach leading to an agenda for reconciliation. Inter-Korean dialogue could be furthered through such initiatives as friendly sporting events; academic and business interactions; scholarships and apprenticeships for young people from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea; student exchanges; exchanges between civil society organizations, including national Red Cross Societies; contacts between professional organizations and women's groups; and the development of "sister city" relationships and, eventually, the re-establishment of transport and communication links.**

92. **The commission of inquiry recommends that States and civil society organizations foster opportunities for people-to-people dialogue and contact in such areas as culture, science, sports, good governance and economic development that provide citizens of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea with opportunities to exchange information and be exposed to experiences outside their home country. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea and other States should remove applicable obstacles to people-to-people contact, including measures that criminalize travel and contact to the extent that these are not in accordance with relevant obligations under international human rights law.**

93. **The commission also recommends that States, foundations and engaged business enterprises provide more support for the work of civil society organizations to improve the situation of human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, including efforts to document human rights violations and to broadcast accessible information into each country. Eventually, and once conditions are deemed to be appropriate, such foundations and enterprises should join forces with the Governments concerned to coordinate efforts to adopt a coherent plan for the development of the country, creation of livelihoods for the population and the advancement of the situation of human rights.**

94. **With regard to the international community and the United Nations, the commission makes the following recommendations:**

(a) **The Security Council should refer the situation in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to the International Criminal Court for action in accordance with that court's jurisdiction. The Security Council should also adopt targeted sanctions against those who appear to be most responsible for crimes against humanity. In the light of the dire social and economic situation of the general population, the commission does not support sanctions imposed by the Security Council or introduced bilaterally that are targeted against the population or the economy as a whole;**

(b) The General Assembly and the Human Rights Council should extend the country-specific human rights monitoring and reporting mechanisms on the Democratic People's Republic of Korea that predate the establishment of the commission; these include the periodic reports of the Secretary-General and the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, as well as the mandate of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. Such mechanisms should be mandated to focus on ensuring accountability, in particular for crimes against humanity, and should report on the implementation of the commission's recommendations;

(c) The United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, with full support from the Human Rights Council and the General Assembly, should establish a structure to help to ensure accountability for human rights violations in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, in particular where such violations amount to crimes against humanity. The structure should build on the collection of evidence and documentation work of the commission, and further expand its database. It should be field-based, supported by adequate personnel deployed to the region so as to enjoy sustained access to victims and witnesses. In addition to informing the work of human rights reporting mechanisms and serving as a secure archive for information provided by relevant stakeholders, the work of such a structure should facilitate United Nations efforts to prosecute, or otherwise render accountable, those most responsible for crimes against humanity;

(d) The High Commissioner should continue the engagement of OHCHR with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, offering technical assistance and enhancing advocacy initiatives. The High Commissioner should facilitate the implementation of a strategy led by the Special Rapporteur and involving all concerned human rights mechanisms of the United Nations system to address, coherently and without delay, the special issue of international abductions and enforced disappearances and related matters described in the present report. Member States should afford full cooperation to ensure the implementation of such a strategy;

(e) The High Commissioner should periodically report to the Human Rights Council and other appropriate United Nations organs on the implementation of the recommendations contained in the present report;

(f) The Human Rights Council should ensure that the conclusions and recommendations of the commission do not pass from the active attention of the international community. Where so much suffering has occurred, and is still occurring, action is the shared responsibility of the entire international community;

(g) The United Nations Secretariat and agencies should urgently adopt and implement a common "Rights up Front" strategy to ensure that all engagement with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea effectively takes into account, and addresses, human rights concerns, including those collected in the present report. The United Nations should immediately apply this strategy to help to prevent the recurrence or continuation of crimes against humanity in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The strategy should contemplate the possibility of the Secretary-General referring the situation to the Security Council;

(h) States that have historically friendly ties with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, major donors and potential donors, as well as those States already engaged with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea in the framework of the six-party talks, should form a human rights contact group to raise concerns about the situation of human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and to provide support for initiatives to improve it;

(i) States should not use the provision of food and other essential humanitarian assistance to impose economic or political pressure on the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. Humanitarian assistance should be provided in accordance with humanitarian and human rights principles, including the principle of non-discrimination. Aid should only be curbed to the extent that unimpeded international humanitarian access and related monitoring is not adequately guaranteed. Bilateral and multilateral providers of assistance should coordinate their efforts to ensure that adequate conditions of humanitarian access and related monitoring are provided by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea;

(j) Without prejudice to all the obligations under international law that the Democratic People's Republic of Korea must immediately implement, the United Nations and the States that were parties to the Korean War should take steps to convene a high-level political conference. Participants in that conference should consider and, if agreed, ratify a final peaceful settlement of the war that commits all parties to the principles of the Charter of the United Nations, including respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. States of the region should intensify their cooperation and consider following such examples as the Helsinki Process.

Annex I

[English only]

Correspondence with the Supreme Leader of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and First Secretary of the Workers' Party of Korea, Kim Jong-un

United Nations Nations Unies

유엔 조선민주주의인민공화국 인권조사위원회

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY ON HUMAN RIGHTS
IN THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA

REFERENCE: COIDPRK/GC/st/62

20 January 2014

Excellency,

Further to my letter dated 16 July 2013, I am writing you again in my capacity as the Chair of the United Nations Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The Commission was established by the Human Rights Council of the United Nations. It has the mandate to investigate alleged grave, systematic and widespread human rights violations in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea with a view to ensuring full accountability, in particular where any such violations amount to crimes against humanity. The Commission has concluded its inquiry, having carefully reviewed the wealth of relevant information which it received in the course of public hearings involving victims and other witnesses, confidential interviews and submissions received from concerned stakeholders.

The Commission regrets that Your Excellency's Government has not availed itself of the opportunity to cooperate with the Commission, including by taking up repeated offers to participate and to make representations at its public hearings. We also regret the fact that, despite our repeated requests, the Commission has not been invited to visit the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and that it has not been provided with information in any manner that Your Excellency's Government would have considered suitable.

The Commission has found that systematic, widespread and gross human rights violations have been, and are being, committed by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, its institutions and officials. In many instances, the violations of human rights found by the Commission entail crimes against humanity. The comprehensive annex to this letter details the findings of the Commission. These findings substantiate and sustain the foregoing conclusions. Any official of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea who commits, orders, solicits or aids and abets crimes against humanity incurs criminal responsibility by international law and must be held accountable under that law.

His Excellency
Mr. Kim Jong-un
Supreme Leader, Democratic People's Republic of Korea
First Secretary of the Workers' Party of Korea
Pyongyang, Democratic People's Republic of Korea
Permanent Mission of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea
to the United Nations in Geneva
E-mail: mission.korea-dpr@ties.itu.int

OHCHR-PALAIS DES NATIONS, 8-14 AVENUE DE LA PAIX, CH-1211 GENEVA 10
E-MAIL: coidprksubmissions@ohchr.org - FAX: +41 22 917 90 18

Even without being directly involved in crimes against humanity, a military commander may be held responsible for crimes against humanity committed by forces under the commander's effective command and control, in the event of failing to exercise control properly over such forces, where (1) the commander knew or, owing to the circumstances at the time, should have known that the forces were committing or about to commit such crimes, and (2) the commander failed to take all necessary and reasonable measures within his power to prevent or repress their commission or to submit the matter to the competent authorities for investigation and prosecution.

On the same basis, a civilian superior will incur personal criminal responsibility if (1) the civilian superior knew, or consciously disregarded, information which clearly indicated that subordinates within his effective responsibility and control were committing crimes against humanity, and (2) the civilian superior fails to take all necessary and reasonable measures within the superior's power to prevent or repress their commission or to submit the matter to competent authorities for investigation and prosecution.

In your capacities as Supreme Leader of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, First Secretary of the Workers' Party of Korea and Chairman of the Party's Central Military Commission, First Chairman of the National Defence Commission and Supreme Commander of the Korean People's Army, the Commission, therefore, wishes to draw your attention in particular to the following findings:

1. The Commission has found that officials of the State Security Department, the Ministry of People's Security, the Korean People's Army, the Office of the Public Prosecutor, the judiciary and the Workers' Party of Korea have committed and are committing, crimes against humanity. These officials are acting under the effective control of the central organs of the Workers' Party of Korea, the National Defence Commission and the Supreme Leader of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. It is open to inference that the officials, are, in some instances, acting under your personal control.

2. The Commission has found that persons detained in political prison camps (*kwanliso*) and other prison camps, those who try to flee your country, adherents to the Christian religion and others considered to be introducing subversive influences are subjected to crimes against humanity. This occurs as part of a systematic and widespread attack of the State against anyone who is considered to pose a threat to the political system and the leadership of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The foregoing attack is embedded in the larger patterns of politically motivated human rights violations experienced by the general population, including the discriminatory system of classification based on *songbun*.

3. The Commission has also found that crimes against humanity have been, and are being, committed against persons from the Republic of Korea, Japan and other countries who have been systematically abducted or denied repatriation, ostensibly to gain labour and other skills for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. These persons are victims of ongoing crimes of enforced disappearance. Officials who fail to acknowledge their deprivation of liberty or fail to provide available information about their fate and whereabouts may also incur criminal responsibility, even if they did not themselves participate in the original abduction or denial of repatriation.

4. The Commission has found that crimes against humanity have been, and are being, committed against starving populations. These crimes are sourced in decisions and policies violating the universal human right to food. They were taken for purposes of sustaining the present political system, in full awareness that they would exacerbate starvation and contribute to related deaths. Many of the policies that gave raise to these crimes against humanity continue to be in place, including the deliberate failure to provide reliable data on the humanitarian situation in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea; the denial of free and unimpeded international humanitarian access to populations in need; and discriminatory spending and food distribution.

The Commission urges you to take all necessary and reasonable measures within your power to prevent or repress the commission of further such crimes and to ensure that the crimes against humanity that have been committed are properly investigated and prosecuted. To this point, the Commission has found no indication that the institutions and officials of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea are willing and able to identify and prosecute the perpetrators of the foregoing crimes against humanity. The Commission wishes to draw to your attention that it will therefore recommend that the United Nations refer the situation in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to the International Criminal Court to render accountable all those, including possibly yourself, who may be responsible for the crimes against humanity referred to in this letter and in the Commission's report.

Finally, I wish to inform you that the full text of the report of the Commission of Inquiry will be presented to the Human Rights Council in Geneva probably on or shortly after 17 March 2014. Copy of the full report in its final form will be provided to the Permanent Mission of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to the United Nations Office in Geneva in advance of that date.

If it would be helpful to you, officials of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and the people of your country, the members of the Commission of Inquiry, including myself, would be prepared to travel to Pyongyang. We would hold ourselves in readiness to do this at any time convenient. Such a visit would afford to you, the officials and people of your country the opportunity to hear fully the reasoning and conclusions of the Commission; to ask questions; and to receive replies about the report, its findings and recommendations. The Commission would be ready to participate in a frank exchange of views concerning the way forward to ensure full respect for human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

The Commission avails itself of the opportunity to renew its assurances of respect to your Excellency and to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

Michael Kirby
Chair

Annex II

[English only]

Correspondence with China

United Nations Nations Unies

유엔 조선민주주의인민공화국 인권조사위원회
COMMISSION OF INQUIRY ON HUMAN RIGHTS
IN THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA

REFERENCE: COIDPRK/CC/st/59

16 December 2013

Excellency,

This letter follows up my letter dated 7 November 2013 whereby the Commission had sought the agreement of your Government to visit China. The Commission of Inquiry regrets the decision of the Government of China not to extend such an invitation as conveyed to the Secretariat by telephone on 20 November 2013.

The Commission regrets that it will not be provided the opportunity to meet and consult with officials directly concerned with China's relations with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK) as well as experts who would have been able to inform us of the context with respect to China's official positions. The Commission also regrets not having been able to visit the Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture in order to conduct interviews with DPRK citizens in holding centres and other places of detention as well as with representatives of Christian churches and other organizations that work on issues related to the position of DPRK citizens in China.

As you may be aware, the Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea is presently preparing its final report for the Human Rights Council. Access to China would have been instrumental to clarifying and verifying certain facts that pertain to China and that fall under the mandate of the Commission. The Commission would have wished to clarify issues of serious concern which we have outlined below and the specific questions listed in an annex to this letter.

The Commission has been informed by representatives of Your Excellency's Government that China maintains a position that DPRK citizens who enter China without permission are considered economic migrants and thus are not given the opportunity for refugee status determination. We understand that it is the position of your Government that such persons should be repatriated to the DPRK, with some exceptions based on humanitarian grounds.

Without wishing to express any final conclusions at this stage of the inquiry, the body of testimony and other information gathered so far indicates that many of the DPRK citizens who cross the border into China do so owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of religion, and/or membership of a particular social group or political opinion. In addition, persons forcibly repatriated to the DPRK are regularly subjected to torture and arbitrary detention and, in

OHCHR-PALAIS DES NATIONS, 8-14 AVENUE DE LA PAIX, CH-1211 GENEVA 10
E-MAIL: coidprksubmissions@ohchr.org - FAX: +41 22 917 90 18

some instances, also to rape, enforced disappearance, summary execution and other gross human rights violations. The Commission also received information on numerous cases of forced abortions and infanticide regarding children believed to have been fathered by Chinese nationals. The Commission is not aware of any effective steps taken by China to ensure that repatriated persons will not be subjected to such violations upon their return to the DPRK.

It would therefore appear that the foregoing repatriation practice breaches China's obligations not to expel, return ("refouler") or extradite a person to another State where there are substantial grounds for believing that he would be in danger of being subjected to torture. This obligation emerges from Article 3 of the Convention against Torture, ratified by China on 4 October 1988. Contrary to Article 33 of the Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, repatriation typically also places DPRK citizens in a position where their life or freedom would be threatened on account of their religion and/or membership of a particular social group or holding a political opinion. The obligation not to expel persons to other States where there are substantial grounds for believing that the person would be in danger of being subject to gross human rights violations also emerges from the requirements of customary international law.

While the inquiry is not yet concluded, the information received so far points towards crimes against humanity being committed by officials of the DPRK against their citizens repatriated from China. There are also reasonable grounds for believing that Chinese officials have in some cases shared with DPRK authorities information about the contacts and conduct of DPRK nationals subject to repatriation. It appears that exchanges are to some degree based on border control-related agreements concluded between the Ministry of Public Security on behalf of the People's Republic of China and the Ministry of State Security on behalf of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

The Commission is concerned that conveying such information further aggravates the risk that repatriated DRPK nationals will be subject to torture, enforced disappearance and summary execution, in particular where information conveyed relates to alleged contacts that DPRK citizens may have had with Christian churches or nationals of the Republic of Korea or any attempts they may have made to travel onwards to the Republic of Korea. The Commission would urge your Excellency's Government to caution relevant officials that such conduct on their part could amount to the aiding and abetting crimes against humanity where repatriation and information exchanges are specifically directed towards (or have the purpose of) facilitating the commission of crimes against humanity in the DPRK.

The information gathered so far also indicates that many women from the DPRK who enter China are being trafficked into forced marriages and, in some instances, commercial sexual exploitation. The Commission is aware that China has criminalized human trafficking and is taking steps to identify and prosecute the perpetrators. However, it appears that the policy of repatriating DPRK citizens and the gross violations repatriated persons face in the DPRK makes many women afraid to report crimes of human trafficking to the authorities.

UNITED NATIONS NATIONS UNIES

The Commission has received reports that DPRK women, some of whom have been victims of trafficking, who have had children with Chinese men, have been among those who have been captured and returned to North Korea. The Commission has received estimates of children of Chinese fathers and North Korean mothers ranging from 10,000 to 25,000. The status of most of these children appears to be effectively stateless as the Chinese families have been discouraged from registering such children because of the illegal status of their mothers. The Commission has noted that China in its Compulsory Education Law makes provision for nine years of compulsory education to all children living in China irrespective of nationality or race. However, information received by the Commission indicates that a large number of children living in China born to women from the DPRK are deprived of the opportunity to attend school resulting from the parents' fear of being arrested and repatriated by registering their children's names as required by law in order for them to attend school.

The Commission also received indications that agents of the DPRK appear to be operating on Chinese territory and attempting to gather information about DPRK citizens and persons supporting them. On some occasions, they appear even to have abducted DPRK citizens and at least one national of the ROK. The Commission has been informed that on other occasions, Chinese security officials have taken the positive step of warning targeted individuals and thus prevented such abductions.

The Commission would be grateful to receive a reply from your Government with respect to the above concerns, and the questions listed in the annex to this letter, by 30 December 2013 so that it may endeavor to reflect your responses in the Commission's report to the Human Rights Council.

Please accept, Excellency, the assurances of my highest consideration.

Michael Kirby
Chair

His Excellency
Mr. Wu Haitao
Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary (Disarmament)
Deputy Permanent Representative of the People's Republic
of China to the United Nations Office at Geneva
Email: chinamission_gva@mfa.gov.cn

Annex

1. Could you confirm your position of treating all DPRK citizens who enter China without permission as illegal economic migrants and therefore not providing them the opportunity to seek asylum or have their refugee status determined ? If this is not an accurate position taken by your Government, could you explain or elaborate further China's policy on such DPRK citizens ?

2. The Commission understands that China has concluded an agreement with the DPRK in 1986 on "the Mutual Cooperation Protocol for the Work of Maintaining National Security and Social Order in the Border Areas", which was first revised in 1998. Could you confirm this understanding to be correct ? If so, could you provide us with a copy of the agreement currently in force and other related documentation revising such an agreement ? Could you provide the Commission with any other documentation that would explain the position of the authorities of China on the policies applies to DPRK citizens in China?

3. The Commission has received reports that Chinese authorities have forcibly returned DPRK citizens to the DPRK. Could you let us know in which cases China has chosen to return DPRK citizens to the DPRK, and in which cases China has chosen not to return them ? Could you provide us with figures, disaggregated by sex and age, on the numbers of DPRK citizens who were returned to the DPRK ? Could you provide us with figures of how many DPRK citizens were permitted to remain in China under humanitarian considerations and with what status?

4. The Commission has received information that approximately 20,000 work or residency permits have been in recent times provided by China to DPRK citizens. Could you provide details about these permits including what is the remit of these permits, which categories of DPRK citizens have received them, how many have received them, and the procedures for obtaining these permits ? In particular, the Commission requests information on whether such permits were given to undocumented DPRK citizens in China so as to regularize their status.

5. Could you inform us of how many DPRK citizens are estimated to currently reside in China, disaggregated by documented and undocumented status?

6. Could you please indicate to what extent Chinese authorities are providing information to DPRK authorities about the activities and contacts of returned DPRK citizens while they are in China ?

7. To what extent have Chinese authorities cooperated with DPRK authorities in identifying persons for capture and repatriation ? If so, how frequently has this occurred and under what legal framework ?

8. What protection is extended to DPRK women who have children with Chinese men and under what legal framework ? Could you provide us with a figure of how many women with Chinese children have been subject to repatriation ? What approach is taken towards the children born from mixed marriages of DPRK and Chinese citizens ? The Commission would also be grateful for any other information about this population of vulnerable children, and what measures are being taken to address their problems?

9. According to information available to the Commission, pregnant DPRK women who are captured in China and are believed to have been impregnated by Chinese men, have been subject to forced abortion or their babies subject to infanticide upon return to the DPRK. Have the Chinese authorities addressed this human rights violation with the DPRK ? Has China considered special measures to protect pregnant DPRK women at risk of refoulement ?

10. The Commission understands that the agreement concluded between China and UNHCR in 1995 on the establishment of the latter's presence in Beijing allows, inter alia, for UNHCR to conduct refugee status determination for asylum-seekers as a temporary measure until the Government implements its own refugee protection framework in accordance with the Refugee Convention. We also understand, that in order for UNHCR to conduct refugee status determination, the Chinese Government has agreed to allow UNHCR personnel unimpeded access to asylum seekers. However, we believe that UNHCR has in fact not been permitted to visit or operate in the northeastern area of China where a large number of DPRK citizens who have fled the DPRK are believed to be residing. Could you provide an explanation for this refusal of permission in light of the agreement between UNHCR and China as well as China's legal obligations under the Refugee Convention ?

11. We understand that the new *Administration Law on Entry and Exit*, adopted by the Standing Committee of China's National People's Congress in July 2012, and came into effect in July 2013, includes provisions on refugee status. The new legislation allows an "alien" applying for refugee status to stay in China with an official temporary identity certificate until the time his or her application is decided. Could you confirm that such an opportunity would be afforded to DPRK citizens who fled the DPRK including through them being informed of such an opportunity if and when they are arrested by the Chinese authorities (for entering and/or remaining in China without permission) ?

12. The Commission would also be grateful for any available information on DPRK operatives who are reportedly present in China in order to monitor and capture DPRK citizens. What is the status of such operatives; are any present with the knowledge of your Excellency's Government ? Have any cases of abductions been investigated by the authorities ? Could you verify this ? If so, how many DPRK agents are permitted to operate in China, for what period of time, and under what guidelines are they entitled to carry out their functions ?

13. The Commission has received reports about the abduction of Chinese, Republic of Korea and other nationals from the Chinese mainland by the DPRK. The COI has received information that in at least one instance, a perpetrator of such abductions has been arrested and prosecuted

in a Chinese Court: Liu Yong Hua, involved in the abduction of Republic of Korea pastor Kim Dong Shik (Court reference attached). Could you please advise of other arrests and prosecutions of perpetrators of abductions in China ? Could a certified version of judgments in these cases please be provided to the Commission ?

14. The Commission has received reports of abductions from Macau and Hong Kong in 1978. The Commission would appreciate any information that about the abductions of Ms Hong Lein-jeng and Ms So Moi Chun (both from China) and Ms Anocha Panjoy (from Thailand) abducted from Macau, and Ms Choi Un-hee and Mr Shin Sang Ok (both from the Republic of Korea) who were abducted from Hong Kong.

30 December, 2013

Dear Mr. Kirby,

I acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 16 December 2013. I wish to state China's position on issues raised in your letter.

At the outset, I wish to reiterate that China does not support the establishment of the Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea by the Human Rights Council. China's position remains unchanged.

China has repeatedly made clear, on various occasions, its position that DPRK citizens who have entered China illegally do it for economic reasons. Therefore they are not refugees. Their illegal entry not only violates Chinese laws, but also undermines China's border control. Some of them have illegally crossed the border on multiple occasions, some were engaged in illegal and criminal acts such as theft, robbery, illegal harvesting. China has the legitimate rights to address those cases according to law.

To China's knowledge, some NGOs and religious groups from the Republic of Korea, under the pretext of humanitarianism, are engaged in organizing smuggling of DPRK citizens who cross the borders illegally. Their activities are for profit and form a complete profit chain. The above-mentioned organized human trafficking activities not only severely undermine China's social stability and national security, but also constitute crimes universally recognized by the international community.

Mr. Michael Kirby
Chair of the Commission of Inquiry
on Human Rights in the DPRK

OHCHR REGISTRY
- 6 JAN. 2014
Recipients :

In recent years, Chinese public security and border guard authorities have seized some DPRK citizens who have repeatedly entered China illegally. This demonstrates that the allegation that repatriated DPRK citizens from China face torture in the DPRK is not true. In addition, the Chinese Government has not found cases related to DPRK women and their children in China mentioned by the Commission.

China will continue to prudently and properly handle the issues of DPRK citizens who enter China illegally in accordance with its domestic law, international law as well as humanitarian principles, on the premise of safeguarding national sovereignty and fundamental interests, bearing in mind the stability of the Korean Peninsula. China firmly opposes any attempt to make this issue a refugee one and to internationalize and politicize the issue.

China hopes that the Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in the DPRK can function in an objective and impartial manner, and not be misled by unproved information.

China requests this letter be included in the Commission's report to the Human Rights Council.



WU Haitao
Chargé d'affaires a.i. & Ambassador
Permanent Mission of China to the
United Nations Office at Geneva and
Other International Organizations in Switzerland

No.GJ/07/2014

The Permanent Mission of the People's Republic of China to the United Nations Office at Geneva and other International Organizations in Switzerland presents its compliments to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and requests the latter to convey to the Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea China's following comments regarding the draft report of the Commission.

China is committed to the promotion and protection of human rights through constructive dialogue and cooperation. China is opposed the politicization of human rights issues, including country specific human rights issues. China also believes that what the Human Rights Council does should be conducive to peace and stability on the Korean Peninsula.

China wishes to remind the Commission of China's position on DPRK citizens who have entered China illegally as stated in a letter addressed to the Commission on 30 December 2013. China rejects unfounded allegations relating to China in the report of the Commission.

China requests that this note verbal, together with the letter addressed to the Commission on 30 December 2013 be accurately

The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
Geneva

reflected in the Commission's final report to the Human Rights Council.

The Permanent Mission of the People's Republic of China to the United Nations Office at Geneva and other International Organizations in Switzerland avails itself of this opportunity to renew to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights the assurances of its highest consideration.

Geneva, 24 January 2014

United Nations A/68/847–S/2014/277

General Assembly
Security Council

Distr.: General
16 April 2014

Original: English

General Assembly
Sixty-eighth session
Agenda items 33 and 38

Prevention of armed conflict

The situation in the occupied territories of Azerbaijan

Security Council
Sixty-ninth year

Letter dated 15 April 2014 from the Permanent Representative of Armenia to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

Upon instructions from my Government, I have the honour to draw your attention to the ongoing violations of the ceasefire by the armed forces of the Republic of Azerbaijan recorded for the month of March 2014 (see annex).

I also accentuate the fact that, during the reporting period, the escalation of the ceasefire violations by the Azerbaijani armed forces resulted in the deaths of two soldiers: Garnik Torosyan and Arayik Babayan, killed on 24 and 27 March respectively. Another soldier, Artush Poghosyan, was wounded on 11 March.

These irresponsible attacks by the Azerbaijani side are in complete violation of the principles and norms of international law and damage the peace process led by the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe Minsk Group Co-Chairs. Armenia strongly condemns these continued provocations and the use of force by Azerbaijan.

I should be grateful if you would have the present letter and its annex circulated as a document of the sixty-eighth session of the General Assembly, under agenda items 33 and 38, and of the Security Council.

(*Signed*) Garen **Nazarian**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative

14-30292 (E) 290414

Please recycle

Annex to the letter dated 15 April 2014 from the Permanent Representative of Armenia to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

Violations of the ceasefire by the armed forces of the Republic of Azerbaijan, 1-31 March 2014

1. On the borderline of the Nagorno Karabakh Republic:

   Total number of violations — 1,295
   Total number of shots ~ 8,264

   AK ~ 5,877, PK ~ 1,463, SVD ~ 530, DSHK ~ 41, RPK ~ 124, Black arrow ~ 62,
   Istigall ~ 167

2. On the borderline of the Republic of Armenia (see table below):

   Total number of violations — 274
   Total number of shots ~ 7,994

   AK ~ 1,274, PK ~ 2,794, SVD ~ 286, DSHK ~ 3,496, RPK ~ 10, Black arrow ~ 104, Istigall — 20, NSVP — 10

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
1.	1 March	Aygedzor	0015	PK	10	
2.				AK	15	
3.			1200	PK	5	
4.				SVD	7	
5.				Black arrow	8	
6.		Mehrab	1605	Black arrow	3	
7.		Barekamavan	1915	SVD	5	
8.		Sarigyugh	2210	AK	3	
9.		Chinari	2220		10	
10.			2230		50	
11.	2 March	Berqaber	0030	AK	3	
12.				SVD	2	
13.		Movses	0230	AK	44	
14.		Voskepar	0230		8	

Abbreviations: AK, Kalashnikov rifle; PK, Kalashnikov machine gun; SVD, 7.9 mm sniper weapon; DSHK, 12.7 mm machine gun; RPK, 5.45 mm machine gun; Black arrow, 12.7 mm sniper weapon; Istigall, -14.5 mm machine gun; NSVP, 12.7 mm machine gun.

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
15.		Barcruni	1120		2	
16.		Aygepar	1140		2	
17.		Mehrab	2215	AK	15	
18.				PK	15	
19.	3 March	Mehrab	1320	PK	60	
20.	4 March	Chinari	0010	PK	20	
21.		Vahan	1030	SVD	3	
22.		Berdavan	1330	AK	1	
23.		Dovegh	1345		4	
24.		Voskepar	0155		1	
25.			2340		1	
26.		Barekamavan	1435	Black arrow	5	
27.				SVD	10	
28.				PK	20	
29.		N. Karmiraghbyur	1500	AK	10	
30.	5 March	Eraskh	0100-0110	AK	3	
31.		Movses	1755	PK	1	
32.		Vazashen	1500	SVD	4	
33.	6 March	Chinari	1830	PK	45	
34.		Sari Gyugh	1850	DSHK	3	
35.				PK	15	
36.		Berqaber	2005	PK	10	
37.		Barekamavan	2120		17	
38.		Berdavan	2140		15	
39.		Eraskh	2145	AK	1	
40.	7 March	Eraskh	0125	AK	1	
41.	8 March	Berdavan	0100	PK	30	
42.			0130		20	
43.		Dovegh	0130	AK	70	

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
44.				PK	20	
45.		Chinari	2320	AK	5	
46.	9 March	Mehrab	0220	AK	4	
47.		Aygedzor	1930		40	
48.		Voskevan	2100		1	
49.		Eraskh	2210		1	
50.	10 March	Aygepar	1115	AK	5	
51.			1125	DSHK	8	
52.		Chinari	1500	Black arrow	4	
53.		Azatamut	1740	AK	3	
54.		Berqaber	1945		4	
55.		Sarigyugh	2040		8	
56.	11 March	Paravaqar	1155	AK	1	At 2310 near Jraberd village at the borderline with Azerbaijan, in combat position soldier Artush Poghosyan was wounded from the Azerbaijani side.
57.		Kiranc	1715		15	
58.		Chinari	2045		30	
59.		Barcruni	2135	PK	25	
60.			2150		20	
61.	12 March	Aygedzor	0940	SVD	2	
62.		Chinari	1140	Black arrow	1	
63.			1738		1	
64.			1800	SVD	1	
65.		Barekamavan	1250		4	
66.	13 March	Voskepar	1030	PK	5	
67.		Aygedzor	1830	AK	15	
68.			1840	SVD	1	
69.			1840	AK	5	
70.		N. Karmiraghbyur	1910	AK	10	
71.		Kiranc	2030		10	
72.		Vahan	2115	PK	5	

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
73.	14 March	Agarak	0945	AK	2	
74.		Aygepar	1045		2	
75.		Sevqar	1252		4	
76.			1255		10	
77.		Berqaber	1255	DSHK	1	
78.			1645	AK	2	
79.			2300	PK	10	
80.		Aygedzor	1300	AK	15	
81.			1315		15	
82.			1315	SVD	2	
83.				AK	10	
84.		Chinari	1300	AK	15	
85.				Black arrow	1	
86.			1300	AK	25	
87.			1605	SVD	2	
88.		Paravaqar	1440	AK	1	
89.		N. Karmiraghbyur	1500	Black arrow	2	
90.		Movses	2145	PK	40	
91.	15 March	Chinari	1015	Black arrow	2	
92.			1245		4	
93.		N. Karmiraghbyur	1540	SVD	1	
94.			1640		4	
95.		Aygepar	1700	SVD	3	
96.		Movses	2300	PK	11	
97.		Aygedzor	2350	AK	10	
98.	16 March	Aygepar	1015	AK	3	
99.			1130	AK	2	
100.			1800	SVD	5	
101.			1845	AK	22	

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
102.			1915	SVD	10	
103.		N. Karmiraghbyur	1135	DSHK	4	
104.			1425	PK	8	
105.				AK	5	
106.				DSHK	4	
107.			1600	SVD	1	
108.		Movses	1845	AK	1	
109.		Eraskh	2130		1	
110.	17 March	Movses	0400	AK	1	
111.			1945-2000	DSHK	25	
112.			2030-2045		20	
113.		Paravaqar	1735	DSHK	7	
114.		Vazashen	1740	PK	50	
115.				SVD	20	
116.			1740	Istigall	20	
117.		N. Karmiraghbyur	1815	SVD	5	
118.			1830	DSHK	13	
119.			1845		20	
120.			1910-1925	DSHK	50	
121.			1930-1945		15	
122.		Chinari	1900	SVD	5	
123.			1920	DSHK	10	
124.			2130	AK	15	
125.		Aygepar	1925	SVD	5	
126.			1930-1945		20	
127.		Sarigyugh	2125	AK	15	
128.			2130		20	
129.		Aygedzor	2230	PK	25	
130.	18 March	Sevakavan	1900	AK	3	

No	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
131.		Eraskh	2040		2	
132.		Berdavan	2215		10	
133.	20 March	N. Karmiraghbyur	0120	AK	2	
134.		Berqaber	1150	SVD	1	
135.			2125	DSHK	5	
136.				PK	20	
137.			2130	DSHK	2	
138.		Sarigyugh	1150	Black arrow	1	
139.		Chinari	1200	NSVP	10	
140.			1730	AK	3	
141.			1910	Black arrow	8	
142.			1910	AK	35	
143.			2030	SVD	3	
144.		Movses	1205	SVD	3	
145.			1435		2	
146.		Paravaqar	1435	AK	7	
147.		Vazashen	1622	SVD	30	
148.		Aygedzor	1800	PK	10	
149.			1820	AK	70	
150.			1910	AK	300	
151.				PK	170	
152.		Khndzorut	1930	AK	3	
153.		Berdavan	2030		10	
154.		Sarigyugh	2125	DSHK	5	
155.				PK	30	
156.		Kiranc	2130	AK	8	
157.		Sevakavan	2310		5	
158.		Eraskhavan	2325		1	
159.	21 March	Chinari	0030	PK	20	

No.	Date	Direction	Time	Type of weapon	Ammunition	Results of violations
160.		Mehrab	2030	AK	3	
161.	22 March	Aygepar	0733	AK	7	
162.			2040		1	
163.		Movses	1450	SVD	3	
164.		Eraskh	1725	AK	1	
165.	23 March	Movses	1105	Black arrow	2	
166.			1205		6	
167.			1225		3	
168.			1250	AK	3	
169.		Chinari	1215	SVD	6	
170.				RPK	7	
171.		m:Gyamish	1215-1300	SVD	20	
172.				PK	45	
173.	24 March	Sevakavan	1215	AK	10	At 0950 near Marzili village at the borderline, in combat position soldier Garnik Torosyan was fatally wounded from the Azerbaijani side.
174.			1220	PK	5	
175.			1625		20	
176.			2020		2	
177.		Movses	1500	PK	3	
178.		Berqaber	1710	DSHK	7	
179.	25 March	Mehrab	1040	Black arrow	10	
180.			1040	SVD	10	
181.		Vazashen	2215	AK	10	
182.		Movses	1200	Black arrow	3	
183.				PK	4	
184.			1400	Black arrow	10	
185.			1625	PK	6	
186.				AK	8	
187.			1730	Black arrow	9	
188.	25 March			SVD	10	

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
189.				Black arrow	7	
190.				SVD	10	
191.			1820	DSHK	15	
192.				Black arrow	3	
193.				SVD	20	
194.				PK	10	
195.				DSHK	7	
196.				SVD	9	
197.				PK	10	
198.		Paravaqar	1615	AK	7	
199.			1710		2	
200.		Berqaber	1730	AK	50	
201.			2215	AK	7	
202.				SVD	1	
203.		N. Karmiraghbyur	1755	DSHK	30	
204.			1815		10	
205.		Aygepar	1930	PK	5	
206.				AK	6	
207.		Sevakavan	2000	AK	3	
208.	26 March	Vazashen	0005	RPK	3	
209.		Movses	1850	AK	2	
210.			2200		1	
211.		Mehrab	2150		3	
212.		Aygepar	2200		1	
213.	27 March	Vazashen	0020	AK	8	At 1310 near Kurapatkino village at the borderline, in combat position soldier Arayik Babayan was fatally wounded from the Azerbaijani side.
214.			1450		2	
215.		Chinari	0110-0125	AK	19	
216.			1500	SVD	3	
217.			2335	DSHK	75	

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
218.				Black arrow	10	
219.				PK	10	
220.		Aygepar	1120	SVD	1	
221.			2300-2340	DSHK	20	
222.			2300-2340		10	
223.	27 March	Berqaber	1450	AK	5	
224.		Movses	1625	SVD	2	
225.			2300-2340	DSHK	35	
226.		Kiranc	1952	SVD	1	
227.		N. Karmiraghbyur	2300	DSHK	35	
228.			2300-2340		30	
229.	28 March	N. Karmiraghbyur	0000-0030	DSHK	125	
230.				PK	130	
231.			0030-0120	DSHK	125	
232.				PK	130	
233.			0030-0120	DSHK	150	
234.				PK	300	
235.			1900-1920	DSHK	180	
236.			2015-2100		25	
237.		Aygepar	0000-0030	DSHK	95	
238.				PK	100	
239.			0030-0120	DSHK	20	
240.				PK	350	
241.			0030-0120		300	
242.			0030-0120		450	
243.				DSHK	200	
244.			1720		5	
245.			1820		7	
246.			1845		2	

No.	*Date*	*Direction*	*Time*	*Type of weapon*	*Ammunition*	*Results of violations*
247.			1900-1920		250	
248.			2015-2100		480	
249.		Movses	0000-0030	DSHK	300	
250.			0030-0120		550	
251.			0800	AK	1	
252.			1900-1920	DSHK	150	
253.			2015-2100		300	
254.		Chinari	0700	PK	12	
255.				AK	10	
256.			1945	DSHK	60	
257.				PK	100	
258.				SVD	20	
259.			2335	PK	40	
260.		Kiranc	1350	SVD	4	
261.		Berqaber	1430	DSHK	6	
262.				PK	10	
263.			1840	SVD	3	
264.		Vazashen	1650	Black arrow	1	
265.			2150	AK	5	
266.		Voskepar	1230	AK	5	
267.			2230		30	
268.		Eraskh	2150		2	
269.	29 March	Voskepar	0515	AK	2	
270.		Movses	0955		1	
271.			1055		1	
272.	31 March	Movses	1315	AK	1	
273.			2120		1	
274.		Aygepar	1925	SVD	2	